



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,652	07/10/2003	Daniel Fremberg	911568-665-001	4150	
²⁸¹⁰⁴ JONES DAY	7590 05/29/200	7	EXAM	EXAMINER	
77 WEST WAG			LIPMAN, JACOB		
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1692			` ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/617,652	FREMBERG, DANIEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jacob Lipman	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ilv 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/12/07</u> . 6) Other:							
							

Application/Control Number: 10/617,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The examiner has considered the information disclosure statement filed 12 February 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites that the server responds to the authentication request with a nonce, but then recites that the authentication request a hash of the nonce before it was sent. It is unclear how the client hashes the nonce before it receives the nonce.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A medium for carrying signals is not tangible, as it can include carrier waves for example.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2134

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

8. Claims 1-21, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briscoe et al., USPub 2004/0187024 in view of Alkhatib et al., USPub 2004/0249974.

With regard to claims 1, 6, 10, 15, 19, and 20, Briscoe discloses an authentication protocol for increasing safety against a computer access attack for pointto-point communication ([0010]), between a client computer and a server ([0002]), to services in at least one of a network for data and telecommunication utilizing a challenge-response pattern ([0016]), including receiving from a client computer an authentication request containing a clients username to a server providing the services (secret signature), the server identifying the client computer IP address and a client password accessible by the server through the transmitted username (Fig 3) the server responding with an N byte nonce numerical value (issuing network entity [0045), the authentication request including a hash value of at least the parameters clients password, client computer unique IP address, server unique IP address, and the nonce value ([0045]) receiving the hash value from the client computer as an authenticator for accessing the services ([0046]) and the server reproducing the authenticator by utilizing the hash algorithm and the parameters clients accessible password, client computer unique IP address, server unique IP address, and the nonce value, comparing the

Application/Control Number: 10/617,652

Art Unit: 2134

reproduction with the transmitted authenticator, and granting an access to the server and services if the reproduced authenticator matches the transmitted ([0064]). Briscoe does not teach using this protocol to prevent a man-in-the-middle attack. Further, Briscoe teaches using the same method for a client to verify the server ([0046]). Alkhatib discloses using a seed to thwart man-in-the-middle attacks ([0151], [0158]). The seed of Alkhatib is combined with the IP addresses in a similar manner as Briscoe. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the "cookie" of Briscoe to thwart the man-in-the-middle attack of Alkhatib since it is irreproducible by other parties, the stated motivation of Briscoe ([0046]).

With regard to claims 2 and 11, Briscoe discloses using a time parameter to create the nonce ([0046]), thus it will be different every time.

With regard to claims 3 and 12, Briscoe discloses that the seed of the nonce is random ([0044]).

With regard to claims 4, 5, 13, and 14, Briscoe discloses the none includes a password (Ka) and a volatile value (timestamp) ([0046]).

With regard to claims 7, 8, 16, and 17, Alkhatib discloses HMAC-Sha-1 is a known hash function ([0133]). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use SHA-1 as the hash function of Briscoe since it is a widespread standard and secure.

With regard to claims 9 and 18, Briscoe in view of Alkhatib discloses the protocol of claim 1, as outlined above, but does not disclose using salt. The examiner takes

Application/Control Number: 10/617,652

Art Unit: 2134

official notice that using salt is well known in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use salt in Briscoe to protect against dictionary attacks.

With regard to claim 21, Briscoe in view of Alkhatib discloses the protocol of claim 20, as outlined above, but does not disclose identifying an attacker. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known ion the art to log attacks and attackers. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to identify the attacker of Briscoe in view of Alkhatib to increase future security against the attacker.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571-272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL

J~ 2-